

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/085,415	Applicant(s) BLACK, MELVIN L.	
	Examiner David L. Sorkin	Art Unit 1723	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) David L. Sorkin.

(3) _____.

 (2) C. Douglass Thomas.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2003
Time: 12:30 PM EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
not discussed
Claims discussed:
25, 26, 31 and 32
Prior art documents discussed:
not discussed
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Thomas authorized an examiner's amendment changing the word "method" to the phrase - - concrete recycling machine - - in each of claims 25, 26, 31 and 32 to clarify that all pending claims are directed to a machine, not a method.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

David Sorkin 2/17/04
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)